JC 780 508

ED 160 147

AUTHOR TITLE Lach, Ivan J.; And Cthers' User's Handbook for Phase I-FY 1979. ICCB Statewide Follow-Up Survey of FY1578 Cocupational Frogram Graduates.

INSTITUTION PUB DATE NOTE

Illinois Community Coll. Board, Springfield...
18 Sep 78

33p.: Best copy available

EDRS PRICE DESCRIPTORS MF-\$0.83 HC-\$2.06 Flus Fostage.,
Community Colleges; Data Collection; Data Processing;
Government School Relationship; *Graduate Surveys;
*Guides; Information Needs; *Jurior Colleges;
Questionnaires; Research Design; *Research
Methodology; State Surveys; Statewide Planning;
Vocational Education; *Vocational Followur
Illinois

IDENTIFIERS

ABSTRACT

Objectives, methodologies, and procedures for conducting a follow-up study of 1977-78 occupational recgram graduates of the Illinois community colleges are cutlined in this handbook. One of three planned studies of former occupational students (PY 1978 program graduates, FY 1979 cccupational skill ' completers, and FY1979 and FY1980 program leavers), this graduate follow-up will be used by the community colleges to respect to federal and state inquiries regarding occupational programs, to compare local results with statewide norms, and as career planning data for current and potential students. Eather than the longitudinal design previously used in statewide follow-ups, the research method will be a cne-time mail survey sent by each college to F11978 occupational program graduates in early 1970. The revised survey instrument contains standardized items interded to meet the needs of the colleges, the Board, the Division of Adult Vocational and Technical Education, the Veteran's Administration, and other federal agencies. It covers the following areas: student rating of college services, rating of college assistance in personal and jct. objectives, present educational and/or employment status, relation between job and college program, salary and location of place of employment, and comments regarding the impact of community college education. The primary responsibility for the study will be at the local level with the Illinois Community College Board providing central coordination and computerized data analysis. Appendices in the handbock include the format for submission of student data on IBM E-3 cards, standarized items to be used in the survey, the format for coding responses, and a sample cover letter for the survey instrument. (MB)

PERMISSION TO REPRODUCE THIS MATERIAL HAS BEEN GRANTED BY

Illinois Community

College Board

ITO THE EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES INFORMATION CENTER (ERIC) AND USERS OF THE ERIC SYSTEM."

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH,
PEDUCATION & WELFARE
NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF
EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPR DUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FRO THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGI ATING IT POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIOI SPATED DO NOT NECESSARILY, REPR SENT OFFICIAL NATIONAL INSTITUTE (EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY

ILLINOIS COMMUNITY COLLEGE BOARD

HUCH D. HAMMERSLAG, Chairman
TOUSSAINT L. HALE, Vice-Chairman
WILLIAM S. CAMPBELL (Sid)
PAUL B. HANKS
VIVIAN H. MEDAK
JAMES W. SANDERS
SHARON SHARP
LILA B. TEER
JOSEPH M. CRONIN (ICE)—Ex Officio
(Represented by John Goudy)
JAMES E. WILLIAMS (Non-Voting Student
Member)

1:		-	A 100 to
	Rockförd		1981
	Chicago	•	1983
	Ashland	ì	1983
	Hardin ~	4,	-1981
	Lincolnwood		1981
	Marion	·	1983
	Arlington Heights		1979
	East St. Louis		1979
) ′
	Springfield,		-
		•	
	Illinois Central College		1979
,			(June)

ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Executive Director: FRED L. WELLMAN

Deputy Director: JAMES M. HOWARD/

Associate Directors:
ALAN V. BIELEN
THOMAS J. DENNY
RICHARD L. FOX
IVAN J. LACH
WILLIAM G. MATLACK
PAUL M. STARNES

Assistant Directors:

PAUL R. CAMP
TONI HARRIS.

CHARLES HEMPSTEAD
PEGGY KOHL
ROBERT M. ROSS
GUS SKEADAS
JANET L. STROUD

Legal Counsel: DONALD D. ZEGLIS

C.E.T.A. Funded Programs: JOHN L. FORBES RICHARD L. FOX -Finance/
-State Covernmental Services
-Special-Projects
-Planning/Research/MIS
-Administrative Services
-Educational Programs and Services

-Data Base Manager
-Student and Community Services
-Capital Projects/District Organization
-Research
-Auditor
-Fiscal Analyst
-Career Programs

Momence

-Economic Development Officer -CETA Interagency Coordinator

Revised 9/21/78 cm



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The development of the new Statewide Occupational Student Follow-Up Study was initiated by the ICCB Research Advisory Council. A special Occupational Follow-Up Study Subcommittee was then formed to work closely and extensively with the ICCB staff in developing the standardized items and the procedures for the study. These two groups will also provide statewide coordination of the Occupational Student Follow-Up Study. The ICCB staff wish to express their appreciation for the many hours of expert and dedicated service that the members of these groups contributed to the development of this follow-up study. The broad representation from the many community college personnel will make this study very responsive to local needs in addition to providing needed information for use at the state level: The members of each of these groups are listed below:

1977-78 ICCB Research Advisory Council Members

- Dr. Stephen J. Groszos, Chairman, College of DuPage
- Mr. Henry Brown, Richland Community College
- Dr. John Dalton, Illinois Central College
- Dr. Forest Etheredge, Waubonsee Community College
- Dr. W. Harold Garner, College of Lake County
- Dr. G. Ernst Giesecke, Sangamon State University
- Dr. William Keel, Belleville Area College
- Dr. Nathan Keith, Governors State University
- Ms. Peggy Kohl, Illinois Community College Board
- Dr. Ivan J. Lach, Illinois Community College Board
- Dr. Paul Lingenfelter, Illinois Board of Higher Education
- Dr. Henry Moughamian, City Colleges of Chicago
- Dr. William R. Schooley, William Rainey Harper College
- Dr. Blanche Sloan, John A. Logan College
- Dr. Paul Thompson, Joliet Junior College
- Mr. James E. Williams, Student, Illinois Central College

ICCB Research Advisory Council Occupational Follow-Up Study Subcommittee Members

- Mr. William Reynolds, Division of Adult Vocational and Technical Education
- Dr. Blanche Sloan, John A. Logan College
- Mr. Cliff Matz, Parkland College
- Dr. Charles Novak, Olney Central College
- Mr. Robert Bakshis, College of DuPage,
- Dr. Paul Thompson, Joliet Junior College
- Ms. Janet Stroud, Illinois Community College Board
- Dr. Ivan J. Lach, Illinois Community College Board
- Dr. William Kelley, Illinois Board of Higher Education
- Ms. Peggy L. Kohl, Illinois Community College Board

PREFACE

The Illinois Community College Act specifies that the Illinois Community College Board shall conduct "...thorough, comprehensive and continuous study of the status of community college education, its problems, needs for improvement, and projected developments...". The Act further stipulates that the Board shall have the power, and it shall be its duty "to cooperate with the community colleges in continuing studies of student characteristics, admission standards, grading policies, performance of transfer students, qualifications and certification of, facilities and any other problem of community college education".

The Illinois Community. College Board has conducted a number of studies in ' response to the Illinois Community College Act. First, in 1970 a cooperative project with the American College Testing Program was conducted to analyze the characteristics of persons before they enrolled in public community colleges of Illinois. Each year, since 1970, studies of community college student characteristics have been completed for those community college students taking the ACT Second, a study on student development, "Student Development Programs in a the Illinois Community Colleges", conducted during 1971-72, analyzed what happens to students while they attend the community colleges by describing the programs designed to serve students and promote their development. Third, several "follow-up" studies have been conducted about students after they left, the college. statewide follow-up study of community college transfer students who subsequently enrolled at four-year colleges and universities was conducted in 1967 through 1969. A report of this study entitled "Performance of Transfer Students Within Illinois Institutions of/Higher Education" was published in November, 1971. In 1973, a second statewide follow-up study of transfer students was initiated. This 1978 study was deemed essential because of the rapid growth in the number of community college districts and community college enrollment between 1967 and 1973. Phase III of the follow-up study of the Fall, 1973 transfer students from Illinois public community colleges was completed in June, 1977. In this particular study, only students transferring during the fall term 1973 from Illinois public community colleges to Illinois four-year colleges and universities were included.

In 1974 the first statewide follow-up study of occupational/career education students in Illinois public community colleges was initiated. This Statewide Occupational Student Follow-Up Study was developed through the efforts of the ICCB Research Advisory Council and the special occupational follow-up subcommittee. Both of these groups spent a considerable amount of time and effort in getting this study implemented. Considerable support was also given to this study by the Council of Presidents, who unanimously endorsed the study, and by the community college personnel, who realized the great need for this statewide effort despite the great deal of work that it meant at the local level. A report on Phase I of the Statewide Occupational Student Follow-Up Study was completed in June, 1975. This report described the characteristics of the students in the study. The second progress report published in January 1978, analyzed the reasons for withdrawal and the results of the first and second year follow-up surveys.

This new Statewide Occupational Follow-Up Study was designed to collect data about former occupational students which the community colleges could use in order to respond to data requests from state and federal agencies. It was also designed, to improve occupational program planning. A specific effort was made by the Ad Hoc Committee; formed to develop, design and implement this study, to

design a survey instrument which would meet the needs of the ICCB, DAVTE, and the Veteran's Administration. However, it should be noted that DAVTE is in the process of implementing a new federal reporting system (Vocational Education Data System/VEDS) which includes a follow-up of students and employers. Since this system has not been finalized at the federal level, the current placement items (VE-22) have been incorporated into this survey.

A special reminder to those conducting the survey: Phase I of the Statewide Occupational Follow-Up Study is to be conducted on FY1978 graduates of occupational programs. DAVTE needs follow-up survey data not only on graduates but on completers and leavers as well. The ICCB Occupational Follow-Up Study Subcommittee realizes the many problems both definitional and procedural which need to be resolved before consistent follow-up data can be expected for these two former groups of students. For that reason, Phase II of the Statewide Occupational Student Follow-Up Study which will be implemented during FY1980 will survey occupational program completers who do not graduate and Phase III of the Statewide Occupational Student Follow-Up Study will survey occupational program leavers. An attempt will be made to develop consistent and practical definitions so that comparable data will be obtained.

Ivan J. Lach Associate Director Planning, Research, and MIS Peggy Sheerin Kohl Assistant Director for Research Fred L. Wellman Executive Director



INTRODUCTION

This handbook was developed in order to clarify the guidelines, objectives, methodologies, and procedures for conducting the occupational follow-up study and to assist those persons responsible for the completion of the study at the community colleges in obtaining and reporting reliable data.

The Statewide Occupational Follow-Up Study was designed to provide data about former occupational students. This data can be used by the community colleges to respond to federal and state inquiries regarding occupational programs. This occupational follow-up study will provide an analysis of statewide data enabling institutions to compare local results with state norms, and improved career planning data for current and potential occupational students. The development of this study was undertaken by the ICCB Research Advisory Council and utilized the expertise of educators in a unified effort to design the study model and survey instruments to meet the needs of the community colleges, the ICCB, DAVTE, HEW and the Veterans Administration.

The proposed new ICCB Statewide Occupational Follow-Up Study retains the same basic format as the previous study but is revised in those areas where the previous study was limited. The basic procedural revisions include the submission of individual student data which enables refined analysis of the results. The follow-up data will be submitted along with the basic student characteristic data which will be submitted on an IBM card (the E-3 card).

The study shifts from a longitudinal type of study to a three-phase study which follows up a different sample each year for a three year period. The rationale for this change is an attempt to concentrate on working cooperatively with DAVTE in developing a follow-up study which would provide common data for both state agencies and for HEW. A special attempt is being made to assist

the community colleges in meeting the present requirement for occupational follow-up data by developing common practical definitions, adequate follow-up survey procedures, standardized survey items, a procedural manual, and computerized data analysis by the ICCB MIS. The three-year follow-up study consists of the following three phases:

Phase I - FY1979 : A Follow-Up Survey of FY1978 Occupational Program
Graduates

Phase II - FY1980 : A Follow-Up Survey of FY1979 Occupational Program Skill Completers

Phase III - FY1981 : A Follow-Up Survey of FY1979 and FY1980 Occupational FY1982 : Program Leavers

The new study will include a revised survey instrument containing standard items which will insure that all DAVTE and ICCB required items will be obtained on the same basis. The data will be reported by individual students in machine readable form on IBM punch cards. The E-3 cards will be utilized for basic student characteristics information. The data analysis will be done by computer at the ICCB with individual college reports being furnished to the colleges. A student intent item on the E-1 and E-2 cards for all occupational students will be utilized starting in FY1980. Common definitions and procedures for each phase of the study will be developed and utilized. For comparison purposes, statewide results of the study will be reported to serve both state level needs as well as those at the local level.

Purposes of the Graduate Follow-Up Study

The first phase of the Occupational Follow-Up Study will be a one-time survey of FY1978 occupational program graduates in the community colleges of Illinois: The major purposes of the study are as follows:

- To assist and encourage institutions in developing occupational follow-up studies for local use in planning, program evaluation, and program advisory committee work;
- To combine the follow-up requirement of the ICCB, DAVTE, and Veterans Administration into one survey instrument which will provide data for all three requests;



- 3. To determine the employment status, placement rates, and job entry salaries of occupational graduates by specific program area;
- 4. To determine the job location of community college graduates;
- 5. To determine the job satisfaction of occupational graduates with their present positions;
- To obtain an evaluation of community college programs and services by graduates of occupational programs;
- 7. To obtain an assessment of the impact of a community college education upon the students;
- 8. To determine how well occupational graduates were able to achieve their objectives at the community colleges.

Research Method

The research method in the study will be a one-time mail survey sent to all FY1978 program graduates within one year after graduation. The study will be conducted by all community colleges and a uniform survey instrument will be utilized by all institutions. A modified E card (the E-3 card, see Appendix A) will be submitted to the ICCB during the Fall of 1978 for each graduate. Each college will conduct a survey of its occupational graduates during January and February of 1979. The results will be reported to the ICCB on IBM punch, cards, one card for each graduate with an I. D. number that must match the previously submitted E-3 card. After data has been received from a community college, summary reports will be sent back to the college for validation. Finally, a statewide analysis of the data will be completed and a report published.

Graduate Follow-Up Study Schedule

<u>Activity</u>

Identifying the graduates in the study.
Identifying students in occupational/career programs who graduate during the Summer,
1977, Fall, 1977, Winter, 1978, and Spring,
1978. Graduates of both certificate and associate degree programs are to be included.

Submission of E-3 card for each FY1978 occupational graduate to ICCB (See Appendix A for E-3 card format)

Time Period

Fall Perm - 1978

Fall Term - 1978 Due November 30, 1978



(Activity Continued)

Conduct survey of FY1978 graduates of all ICCB approved certificate and associate degree occupational programs at your college. The standardized items shown in the survey instrument in Appendix B must be utilized in the college's follow-up survey.

Complete State Report I: Report of responses by students to the graduate follow-up study survey due to ICCB. Submission of data on IBM card (G-1 Card) for each student. (See Appendix C for keypunching format for the G-1 card - Note that the item numbers are those shown for each item on the standardized instrument in Appendix B.)

((Time Period Continued)

January and February, 1979

Target Due Date - February 28 1979

General Guidelines

Through the Statewide Occupational Follow-Up Study, a survey will be conducted of all FY1978 (fiscal year) occupational program graduates (those students graduated during Summer 1977, Fall 1977, Winter 1978, and Spring 1979), including both certificate and associate degree programs. To provide statewide comparability of the follow-up results, the survey will be conducted simultaneously by all community colleges using the follow-up instrument containing standardized items (see standardized items, in the follow-up survey in Appendix B). For local purposes, the colleges may add additional items to the survey instrument. The standardized items should be put on college forms but should not be altered or reworded.

The instrument should be mailed from each college and should contain a cover letter explaining the rationale for the follow-up survey and the importance for each graduate to respond, the survey instrument, and a business reply envelope that does not require a stamp. For best response, the cover letter should be from the president of the college and the director, coordinator, or faculty in charge of the program from which the student graduated. A copy of a sample cover letter is contained in Appendix D. This is a very significant point and indicates that each program area has responsibility for the follow-up of students in program evaluation in conjunction with their other responsibilities of teaching, program administration, and program planning. The follow-up study coordinator should provide assistance in central

coordination of the follow-up but should obtain much help from the instructors and directors of each program with the follow-up effort. Such assistance is greatly needed in making additional contacts to the students to obtain an adequate response rate to the follow-up survey or to help locate the present whereabouts of the student:

The follow-up survey should be conducted during January and February of 1979. After initial matling a second mailing should be made to students not responding within two weeks. A week to ten days after the second mailing, a telephone follow-up is appropriate. We suggest that the directors and instructors of the various occupational programs should assist with the telephone calls unless resources are available to use or hire special help for this purpose. We are providing some materials from the DAVTE publications, describing the procedures that should be used in conducting follow-up surveys of former students (see Appendix E).

Survey Instrument

The survey instrument contains a number of standardized items, which the Ad Hoc Occupational Follow-Up Study Subcommittee felt were of prime importance to be obtained on a statewide basis. Efforts were made to develop an instrument which would meet the basic needs of not only the ICCB but DAVTE and the Veterans Administration as well. Since all the VEDS requirements were not known at the time the survey was developed, only best assumptions were possible on some of the items. In addition, items on the VEDS requirements which the committee felt were inappropriate were excluded. A copy of the survey instrument containing the standardized items is shown in Appendix B. The college may develop their survey form but it must contain these standardized items without being re-worded. The survey instrument must contain the name of the student and his or her social security number right on the survey form. We suggest the use of a computer generated mailing label for this purpose. Ample space should be allocated on the survey form to allow the student to correct his or her name or address. This is very useful to obtain new names of female graduates who have married.

The local institution may wish to add additional items to the survey instrument to obtain more specific evaluations in particular programs. Care should be taken not to duplicate any standard items nor to make the survey too long.

Explanation of Items on the Survey

It is important to explain the rationale for using some of the various items on the survey instrument containing the standardized items (See Appendix B) to insure that the data will be obtained and analyzed in a consistent manner. Item A on the instrument is designed to provide an evaluation of various community college programs and services, while Item B is designed to assess the impact of a community college upon a student. You will note that various non-economic impacts are being assessed in this item. This item was originally developed by the ICCB Research Advisory Council's Impact Study Committee and is being used on the Impact Study of Graduates. Item G provides information which is necessary to complete information required about former students by the DAVTE and by the Veterans Administration. The categories under No. 4 of Item G, which provides reasons why a student is unavailable for employment, are needed for the Veterans Administration form. Although these categories are not exactly in the same format as the Veterans Administration requirements, they are compatible and can be used.

Items H, I, and J provide information for the DAVTE and Veterans Administration requirements which relate the educational program with present employment.

Item M is very important because it enables the analysis of the salary data for students who are new job entries from ones who are continuing their past employment. Since we have many adult students in the community colleges, this is a very essential data element for the analysis of salary information.

Item Q on the survey instrument is not required for statewide reporting purposes, but is needed for further employer follow-up's which are required by the Veterans Administration and is also very useful for verifying whether or not the student completed Item P correctly. Since many students do not know the exact. boundaries of their community college district, we would suggest that college staff members compare Items P and Q to make sure that Item P was checked correctly.

Item Q also provides information about job classifications which can be utilized by the community college personnel.

The survey should be sent to FY1978 occupational graduates and should be mailed to students during January, 1979. An attached keypunching format should be utilized after responses are received from the graduates surveyed. The results of the survey should be submitted by each college to the ICCB on IBM punch cards (G-1 cards). One card is required for each graduate surveyed. The G-1 card submissions are due by February 28, 1979. Make sure that the same nine digit social security number (student identification) is used on the G-1 card as on the E-3 card.

Community College Responsibilities

The primary responsibility for the occupational follow-up study will be at the local community college level. Each institution will be responsible for allocating adequate staff time and personnel to complete the study. A coordinator for the study must be selected and identified to the ICCB. The coordinator would have the responsibility for directing the study at the college level and ensuring that each phase of the study is completed on time. Clerical, as well as keypunching services, will need to be utilized at each institution. Problems have arisen at some colleges in previous studies when the person responsible for the study leaves the institutions. In several instances, institutions have had to drop out of a study because the person responsible for the study left the institution and the person taking their place had no knowledge of the study or the data needed to complete it. It may be beneficial to appoint not only a coordinator but an alternate coordinator as well. Then, should the need arise, another person would have knowledge of and access to the data.

A file should be kept on each student in the study which would contain the name and address of the student, the social security number, telephone number, and name and address of a person who will always know the whereabouts of the student.

Statewide Coordination

The Statewide Coordination of the study rests with the ICCB staff, the ICCB

Research Advisory Council, and the Ad Hoc Occupational Follow-Up Study Subcommittee which was formed in order to develop standardized survey instruments and procedures for the study. The efforts of this subcommittee are supported by ICCB and DAVTE and both agencies have representatives on the subcommittee. Efforts have been made to coordinate the DAVTE follow-up with the new study in order to alleviate the duplication of efforts which have occurred in past studies. Central coordination would include defining the objectives, methods, and procedures of the study and communicating them to the community colleges, developing concise instruments which will provide for the same basic data from each community college, providing computer analysis of the follow-up data through the ICCB MIS, and collecting, validating, and analyzing the data and preparing reports after each phase of the study.

APPENDIX A

Student Graduation Data (E-3 Card Format)

APPENDIX A

Student Graduation Data (E-3 Card Format)

	. \(\psi \)	, ·		
	, ,	ield		
<u>Item</u>	Column Le	ngth,	<u>Sub je ct</u>	
r ·	1	1 '	Record Type: E 3	
2,	2	1	Type of Submission	t
		а т	3FY1978 Graduate Follow-Up	1
3 ,	3-5	3	District Number	
4	6-7	2	Campus Number	
5	8-16	9	Student Identifier: Social Security Number (If no social security number available, use locally assigned student identification number.)	
6	17	1	Apportionment Period/Instructional Term Codes:	
,		(Term of Graduation	
•			1Summer, 1977 2Fall, 1977 4Winter, 1978 6Spring, 1978	
		**	0spring, 1970	
7*	18-19	2 ·	Fiscal Year: Fiscal Year of Graduation	4
8	20	1	Enrollment Status: (Not Required)	,
9	21-26	6	Previous Institution: (Not Required)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	07.00	0	Student Level: (Not Required)	
* 10	. 27 - 28	2	Scudent Level: (Not Required)	f
11	29	1	Residency Code:	
	1).		1In-District 3Out-of-District 5Out-of-State 7Foreign Country	
•	•			da .
12	30-32	3	Illinois County Code: Use 3 digit numeric county code in Section II, Page 34, and in the Data Base Directory or Page 70 (Out-of-State - Leave Blank)	**
13	33-35	3 .	Out-of-District Students: (Not Required)	

^{*} Card columns 1-19 (Items 1-7) constitute the record key for the E-1 card data. Errors in this area must be corrected by deleting old record and adding new one.

ERIC

^{*} Items identified are changed from FY1978 manual a

Student Graduation Data (E-3 Card Format)

<u>Item</u>	Card Column	Field <u>Length</u>	Subject
14	36	1	District Instructional Arrangement: (Not Required)
15.	37-38	2	State Code: State of Origin
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(Residency) of student at time of initial enrollment. Suse two digit numeric state code shown in Section II, Page 35 of this manual and in the Data Base Directory Page 70.
. 16	39-42	4	Total Credit Hours Completed at Your College Report total of all credit courses student completed or obtained credit for at your college. (2 decimal field 99V99)
$1 \mathcal{J}_r$	43	1	Credit Hour Type Enter
#	g in the second		S - Semester Credit Hours Q - Quarter Credit Hours
18	44-48	J 5 .	Student Curriculum*
			Curriculum Prefix - Alpha-Numeric Five digit-left justified. Must be identical to the curriculum prefix as approved on ICCB Form 20A and shown on ICCB Curriculum Master File.
19	49-52	4	Curriculum Number - Alpha-Numeric Four digit - left justified. Must be identical to curriculum number as approved on ICCB Form 20A and shown on ICCB Curriculum Master File.
20	53-58	6	Birthdate in YYMMDD form. August 27, 1956 would be entered 560827. (If birthdate is unknown, leave blank)
21	59	1	Ethnic/Racial Classification (New HEGIS Definitions)** (Leave blank if unknown.)
			1Asian or Pacific Islander 2American Indian or Alaskan Native 3Black Non-Hispanic 4Hispanic 5White Non-Hispanic 6Non-Resident Alien 7Refuse to Indicate

^{*} PCS Code, HEGIS Code, and Degree/Certificate type will be entered on the student record by a computer program interface with the ICCB Curriculum Master File: Curriculum I.D. number must match the I.D. number on the ICCB Curriculum Master File exactly.

ERIC

^{***} HEW has changed their categories to the ones shown; hence, this change in categories will enable the community colleges to report HEGIS data and ICC3 data by using a common definition. This change was implemented by the ICC3 in FY1978.

(Continued)

Student Graduation Data (E-3 Card Format)

ά.	<u>Item</u>	Card Column	Field Length	Subject	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
, Æ	22	60 :	· · · 1	Sex: Use Numeric Codes	
				Not Known (Leave Blank) 1Male 2Female	^
3.	23	61	1.	Type of Attendance: (Not Required)	, A.
	24	62	, 1	Time of Attendance: (Not Required)	*
C****	25	63	1	Student Intent: (Not Required)	
	26	64-65	2	High School Percentile Rank: (Not Required)	* *
	27	66-67	. 2	ACT Composite Score: (Not Required)	4.
C****	28	68-69	2	High School Graduation or GED Test Completion Year: (Optional for FY1979)*	, v.
	•			Enter last two digits of year in which student completed high school diploma or GED test.	
/	*			Enter "NO" if student does not have a high school diploma or GED certificate.	ison est;
		<i>=</i>	e.	Leave Blank if unknown.	** -
C****	29	70- 71	2	Handicapped Status: (Not Required)	
C***	30	71	1	Limited English Speaking Status: (Not Required)	UN PORT
C****	31	72	1	Disadvantaged Status: (Not Required)	. (
	32	7 3- ,75	3	Cumulative Grade Point Average at Community College Report cumulative GPA based on a 4 point scale with 3.00 = B; 2.00 = C; 1.00 = D; 0.00 = F. 2 decimal f	4.00 = A; ield 9V99.
d e	33	80	1	Update Code	•
		•	• ·	AAdd - For original submission of E-1 or E-2 card for a given term. CChange - Used only to correct or modify an origin submission DDelete - Used to completely delete an original su	

Ction Items identified are changed from FY1978 manual

^{*} These items are being further developed by HEW and DAVTE and will be required by the ICCB after FY1979 only if needed for specific purposes such as combination of data reporting to ICCB and DAVTE.



APPENDIX B

Standardized Items to be Used in the Illinois Public Community Colleges Occupational Follow-Up Survey of FY1978 Occupational Program Graduates

APPENDIX P

Standardized Items to be Used in the Illinois Public Community Colleges Occupational Follow-Up Survey of FY1978 Occupational Program Graduates

Use Label			
idress:		3	· ,e
oc, Security No.:			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		}	
elephone No.:			
f there are any changes in the label, please correct.			
there are any changes in the taber, prease correct.			
•		4	
		•	v
· ·		•	,
			•
		IN	cour chicative:
Please rate those college services below that you	D.	How successful were you in meeting	your objective
have utilized according to how well they fulfilled		e ^{as}	
your own individual needs by marking each item	,	1 Very successful	
with a number corresponding to the following code.		2 Somewhat successful	. `
		3. Not successful	
1 - Very Good · 4 - Poot		4 Not, sure	,
a and E Harm Book	-		
3 - Average 5 - Not Applicable	E.	What is your current educational st	ratus?
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
.1 Financial Aid) 7 Student Activities		 Full-time student 	
2 Carear Counciling 3 . Library Services		Part-time student	
3. Job Placement 9. Instruction in Occu-		2. Part-time student 3. Not enrolled in school	,
4. Academic Counseling - pational Courses			
	,		
5. Tutoring Services 10. Instruction in Non-	CON	PLETE ITEM F ONLY IF YOU ARE CONTIN	IITNG
6. Vecaran Services Occupational Courses	CO	YOUR EDUCATION	<u> </u>
, and the second		town chacutiba	
How helpful was your community college education in	E*	Institution Attending:	*
each of the areas listed below. Mark each item with	۴.	institution Accembing:	· ,
the number of the most appropriate response to each		Program of Study	
statement using the following code:	М.,	Lingiam of Grada	
)	-	How is your present field of study	related `
1' Very Helpful 3 - Of No Help 2 - Somewhat Helpful 4 - Not Applicable		to your community college program?	
5 - Somewhat welbig: # - Not Wholicanie 3		to logi demunial seriege brogram.	, ,
The second of th		1 Same Field	
1. Preparation for a specific job		7 . Palarad Field	
2. Obtaining a job		2. Related Field 3. Unrelated Field	
3. Performance and advancement in present job	· _	2 : uteraced . term .	
4. Development of more effective communication skills	,	(Para de mana andreas and language abo	7
5. Improvement of my personal relationships with others	G.	What is your present employment sta	î rai
Development of my ability to think critically		1 5.11.51.	*
7. Identification and development of life goals and values		1 Employed, Full-Time	
3. Understanding myself better		2Employed, Part-Time	,
9. Becoming more involved with my community		3. Full-Time Military Service	
10. Increasing my aesthetic appreciation (such as art,		4 Cnemployed, Seaking Employ	
music, and literature)		5 Unavailable for Employment	=
11 Making more effective use of my time		Indicate reason below:	
- Carlotte C	et.	a/Full-Time Student	i.
What was your main objective in attending our college?	No.	5 Full-Time Homeman	se r
A Comment of the Comm		c. Health Disability	₹
1 ?rapare for a future job	-	a f. d. Family Responsible	ilities
Improve skills needed in present ajob,		e. Prefer Not to Mov	ve to -
3. Explore courses to decide on a careet		New Locality	1 #
4. Take course work for transfer to another college		New Locality f. Other	er i signi
3. Personal interest or self-development			
6. Other			
V. VEHEL			

PROCEED TO FAGE TWO ONLY IF YOU ARE EMPLOYED

(EMPLOYER INCLUDES FULL TIME MILITARY SERVICE)

IF YOU ARE NOT EMPLOYED, THIS COMPLETES THE SURVEY. PLEASE RETURN THE SURVEY IN THE ENVELOPE PROVIDED. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR HELPING US EVALUATE THE COLLEGE'S PROGRAMS AND SERVICES



COMPLETE THIS PAGE ONLY IF YOU ARE EMPLOYED.

H. 16 you are currently employed, how closely related is your present job to the program	N. In general, how satisfied are you with your present job? (Check only one)
completed at our college?-	1 Very Satisfied
	2. Satisfied
1, Closely Related	2. Satisfied 3. Not Sure
1Closely, Related 2Somewhat Related 3Not Related	4. Dissatisfied
3. Not Related	5 Very Dissatisfied.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	J Very Dissectation /
. If current job is not related, have you	O. How helpful was each of the sources listed below
heen employed in a job relaced to the program	in attempting to find your first job upon leaving
completed since leaving our college?.	in accempting to this your lines job aport reasons
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	our college? Mark the number of appropriate
1 Yes 2 No	response to each sourse by using the following code:
*1	a secular and the Holo
. If your present job is not related to the	1 - Very Helpful 3 - Of No Help
program you completed at our college (as	2 - Somewhat Helpful 4 - Does Not Apply
indicated in Question I above) please	S. C.
check the best reason why.	1. College Placement Office 2. Program Coordinator or College Faculty
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2. Program Coordinator of College Faculty
1 Transferred to a college or university 2 Preferred to work in another field	3. Illinois State Job Services Agency 4. Private Employment Agency 5. Friends or Relatives 6. Other (Please instante)
n-4d raymek in snothers field	4. Private Employment Agency
2. Preserved to work in another field	5. Friends or Relatives
3. Found oetter paying job in another rists	6. Other (Please indicate)
3. Found better paying job in another field 4. Could not find a job in field of	
preparation f S. Worked prayiously in field of preparation, but changed	
5. Worked previously in field of preparation,	P. What is the location of your primary place of
but changed	employment? (Check only one)
6. Preferred not to move to new locality	employment: (oneek only one)
7 Other (Describe)	1 Within our community college district
,	within our community correge district
. If you are employed full-time or part-time, please	Not in our college district but in Illinois Outside of Illinois
indicate your gross monthly salary range; that is,	. 3. Outside of lilinois
before deductions.	
	Q. Please indicate the following information about
1 Un to \$300	your, present position.
2 6200 - 8499	
2	1. Firm or Employer 2. Employer Address
3. 3300 - 3037	2. Employer Address
4 \$700, - \$877.	
5, 5900 - 31079 "	3. Supervisor/s Name
6 \$1100 - \$1299	4. Exact title of your position
7. \$1300 = \$1499	· .
8 \$1500 - \$1699	5. Primary job function
1. Up to \$300 2. \$300 - \$499 3. \$500 - \$699 4. \$700 - \$899 5. \$900 - \$1099 6. \$1100 - \$1299 7. \$1300 - \$1499 8. \$1500 - \$1699 9. \$1700 - Up	J. Primary jos annesas
in the based on	
. The salary in the item above is based on	R: May we have your permission to contact your employer
hours per week on the average.	for additional information?
)	· ·
1. Were you employed in your present job prior to your	1. Yes 2. No
enrollment in the program completed at our college?	/1
\mathcal{L}	
1Yes 2	
	the community college for
Please make any comments that you feel-will let us know	the impact of your education at the community college for
you personally whather good or bad.	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	3 d
	*
11.	
THAT WILL STATE AND HOLD WILL THE THE TAR ASSESSMENT HE IN	EVALUATING THE COLLEGES PROGRAMS AND SERVICES.
THANK TOUNDER FOR TON NOTIFIED OF THE	**************************************
	i
* 4 ,	\

ERIC

APPENDIX C

Illinois Community College Board Statewide Occupational Follow-Up Study Graduate Follow-Up Study

ERIC

APPENDIX C

Illinois Community College Board
Statewide Occupational Follow-Up Study
Graduate Follow-Up Study

"G-1" Card Format Field Column Length Subject "G 1 Follow-Up Survey Card Ţ 3 District Number 2. 3-5 Campus Number '(Use Ol for single campus districts) 2 6-7 8-16 Social Security Number Term Graduated 17 1 - Summer, 1977 2 - Fall, 1977 3 - Winter, 1978 4 - Spring, 1978 Fiscal Year Graduated (Enter 78 for FY1978 graduates) 18 - 19IF NO RESPONSE TO SURVEY, PLACE "N" IN COLUMN 79 AND LEAVE ITEMS 7-47 BLANK.

```
For next 10 items, use these codes (responses to Item "A" on survey).

1 - Very Good

3 - Average

5 - Very Poor

Blank - No Response

7 - Not Applicable

To Item
```

	: p	φ. '	
7	20	1 .	Financial Aid [/]
. 8,	21	1	Career Counseling
9	22	1	Job Placement
10	23	1 .	Academic Counseling
11 ,	24	1	Tutoring Services
12 '	2 5	1 .	Veteran Services
13	26	1	Student Activities
14.	27	1	Library Services
15)	28	. 1	Instruction in Occupational Courses
16	29	1	Instruction in Non-Occupational Courses

```
For next 11 items, use these codes (responses to Item "B" on survey).

1 - Very Helpful 3 - Average 5 - Very Poor Blank - No Response

2 - Somewhat Helpful 4 - Poor 6 - Not Applicable To Item
```

7 21			
17 .	30	1	Preparation for a specific job
18	31	1	Obtaining a job
19	32	1	Performance and advancement in present job
20	33	1	Development of more effective communication skills
, 21	34	1	Improvement of my personal relationships with others
22	35	1	Development of my ability to think critically
23	36	1	Identification and development of life goals and values
24	37	1 .	Understanding myself better
25	38	• 1.	Becoming more involved with my community
26	39	1	Increasing my aesthetic appreciation (such as art,
£Ų	,,	4=	musi, and literature)
27	40	1 9	Making more effective use of my time

	e ·		-15-	
· . · · · · -	•		(Appendix C)	. · · · · ·
	Card	Field	(Continued)	*4:4
<u>Item</u>	Column	Length	Subject	
a' '	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			• •
28	41	1.	Objectives for Attending College (Item "C")	
·			Code:	*
		, · · · ·	1 - Property for Property Tab	
			1 - Prepare for a Future Job 2 - Improve Skills Needed in Present Job	
	,	-	3 - Explore Courses to Decide on a Career	
			4 - Take Course Work for Transfer to Another	College
r	·	*	5 - Personal Interest or Self-Development 6 - Other	
		<u> </u>	Blank - No Response to this Item	•
29	42	1	Success of Objectives (Item "D")	
* •		=	Code:	_
Ē	, .	•	1 - Very Successful	
, ,		,	2 - Somewhat Successful	
٠.٢	4	v `	3 - Not Successful 4 - Not Sure	
(,	e.	Blank - No Response to this Item	
30	. 43	. 1	Educational Status (Item "E")	
			Code:	
Jr			1 - Currently Full-Time Student	*
*		••	<pre>2 - Currently Fart-Time Student 3 - Not Enrolled in School</pre>	
4			Blank - No Response to this Item	
31	44 .	1	Relationship of Present Program to Community Coll Program (Item "F")	ege .
0			Code:	
e : .		τ.	1 - Same Field	
*)	2 - Related Field	_
			3 - Unrelated Field Blank - No Response to this Item	
32	45	1	Employment Status (Item "G")	
	, ,	· ·	Code:	
			1 - Employed, Full-Time	,
			2 - Employed, Part-Time 3 - Full-Time Military Service	7.
		5	4 - Unemployed, Seeking Employment	
	* .		Blank - No Response to this Item	
33	46	1	, If Unavailable for Employment (Reason)	
22	40	• (lode:	
ì			1 P. 11 Prince Charles	v
	ı.	`	1 - Full-Time Student 2 - Full-Time Homemaker	,
		•	3 - Health Disability	
			4 - Family Responsibilities	
			5 - Prefer Not to Move to New Locality 6 - Other	
	**1		Blank - No Response to this Item	
34	47	.1	Relationship of Present Job to Community College Program (Item "H")	
			Code:	
			1 - Closely Related	
			2 - Somewhat Related	F
	-		3 - Not Related Blant - No Response to this Item	•
		* *	Drair - no response co curs reem	

(Appendix C) (Continued)

			·						
	Card	Field				* *		-	
	The second secon	•	Subvect						• '
Ttem	<u>Column</u>	<u>Length</u>	200 Vecr			•		,	
				e .		*	ė	. •	: %
35	4.8	- 1	Response to	Item "I"		. ,			
رد	4.0	*	Code:		1		• •	ı	
* ,			Code.	1					
N			. 1 - Yes			•	• 1		
•	, e	- ·	1						
			2 - No			` .	=		
1	· •		Blank - No Re	sponse to	tuie 1	.cem	` \ <u>-</u>	,	
36	49	. 1	.Response to	Item "J"		9			0 -
20	, 43	•	Code:	<u>,= , </u>		-	ه	2	
,	5		cone:	1	. 🗻		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·• / -	•
		-		/	0 11		1	/ .	
		•	Trans	fegred to	a Coll	ege or Un	iversity		بيغر
			2∖- Prefe	rred to W	ork in	Another F	1eld		* ·
	4		· 3Ĵ - Found	Better P	aying J	ob in Ano	ther Field	Ld ,	
		174	4 - Could	Not Find	a Job	in Field	of Prepar	ation	
. 4	4		5 - Worke	d Praviou	ເປັນ ເກ່າ	Field of	Preparat:	ion, but	Changed
*	•	-) - WOLKE	u rieviou	to Mosso	to New L	ocality		
					to move	: CO New D	ocarrcy		
			7 - Other		-1-3 - T	·			
•			Blank - No Re			tem		•	
37	30	, · • •	Salary Data	Item "K"	44,				. •
5,	, ,	-	Code:						*
			code,					•	-
	-(I - Up t	o \$300					
	9							1	
	10%	*		- \$499					
(*	V	3 - \$500						
, , , , , , ,		' '	4 - \$700	- \$899			я	•	
			5 - \$900	- \$1099					
		-		0 - 1299				•	•
4				0 - \$1499					
8						45 K		*	
	•			0 - \$1699					
*	•		9 - \$170 Blank - No F	0 - Up	a thia	T to am			
41		4	blank - No r	esponse c	o this	Trem	à		
							¥		
38	51-52	- 2	Response to	Item "L"		1			
		4	(2 digit Num	eric Fiel	d Use C	00 to 99)	If more	than	
	*		99 hours rep	orted ent	er 99.		(3)v	,	
ŕ		ř	77 1,0110,104	01000		•	•		,
					ملطم ما	Ttom			-
		•	Blank - No R	esponse t	o this	rtem			
						i			
39 ∄√	['] 53	1	Response to	Item 'M'		,			<u>-</u> .
2 2 S	,,,		Code:						
•			oode.						٠.
	·		1 - Yes						
			2 - No			*	r		•
	1		Blank - No F	esponse t	o this	Item			
			DIGIN NO	.25po50 -	- 1				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
•					וואוו י	y			•
40	54	1 \	Job Satisfac	cion item	I IN				*
			Code:						
	<i>\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\</i>		1 17	. Catiofic	ď		`		
1	**************************************		-	· Satisfie					
	• · •/		2 - Sati		,				
\	• 1		3 - Not 9						
			4 - Diss	atisfied		•	2		
8				Dissatis	fied				
			Blank - No F			Item			
			DIGIN - NO I	poinse				1	•

(Appendix C) (Continued)

<u>Ite</u>	Caro <u>Colúr</u>		
Sou	rce of F	inding Job	For the next 6 items, use these codes (responses to Item "O")
1 -	Very Hel	-	3 - Of No Help Blank - No Response to this Item
41 42 43 44 45	55 56 57 58 59	1 1 1- 1	College Placement Office Program Coordinator or College Faculty Illinois State Employment Agency Private Employment Agency Friends or Relatives
46 47	60	1	Other Location of Job (Item "P") Codes:
			1 - Within Community College District 2 - Not in College District but in Illinois 3 - Outside of Illinois Blank - No Response to this Item
48	62-63	2 ′	Two-Digit Standard Occupational Classification (SOC) Category of the former students present jobs. (To be coded by the college personnel based on students title and job function as reported in Item "Q".) (This Item is needed for the DAVIE VEDS follow-up report) 2-Digit Numeric Field
49	64-78	15	Not Used
50	79	1	N - No Response to Survey - Otherwise Leave Blank
51	80	1	Update Code A - Add C - Change D - Delete

^{*} Two digit SOC codes are shown on the next page. For a detailed description of the SOC classifications refer to the document <u>Standard Occupational</u> <u>Classification Manuals 1977</u>. U. S. Department of Commerce, Office, of Federal Statistical Policies and Standards, U. S. Publication Number 041-001-0153-1.

(Appendix C) (Continued)

TWO-DIGIT STANDARD OCCUPATIONAL CLASSIFICATION (SOC) CODE STUB FOR NCES 2404-7, PART D

14 Management Related Occupations

- 17 Computed, Mathematical, and Operations Research Occupations
- 29 Registered Nurses
- 30 Pharmacists, Dieticians, Therapists, and Physician's Assistants
- 32 Writers, Artists, Performers, and Related Workers
- 36. Health Technologists and Technicians
- 37 Engineering and Related Technologists and Technicians
- 38 Science Technologists and Technicians
- 39 Technicians: Except Health, Engineering, and Science
- 41 Sales Occupations, Commodities.
- 42 Insurance, Res Estate, and Service Sales Occupations
- 45 Supervisors: Clerical Occupations *
- 46-47 Olerical Occupations, Except Equipment Operators *
 - 48 Computing and Office Equipment Operators
 - 51 Protective Service Occupations
 - 52 Service Occupations; Except Private Household and Protective
 - 55 Farm Operators and Managers
 - 57 Forestry and Logging Occupations
 - 58 Fishers, Hunters, and Trappers
 - 61 Construction Trades
- 64-65 Transportation and Material Moving Occupations
 - 67 Mechanics and Repairers
 - 72 Précision Production Occupations
 - 77 Fabricators, Assemblers, and Hand Working Occupations
 - 99 Occupations Not Elsewhere Classified
 - * Note: The American Vocational Association (AVA) has taken exception to the use of the term "Cletical" in these titles. These concerns are being transmitted to the Office of Management and Budget for consideration and possible title revision.

APPENDIX I

Cover Letter for Graduate Follow-Up Study

APPENDIX D

COVER LETTER FOR GRADUATE FOLLOW-UP SURVEY

(LETTERHEAD)

(Date)

Dear Graduate:

To improve the instructional offerings at our college; you, as a graduate, are being asked to complete the attached questionnaire.

Be assured that all responses are confidential and that we are grateful for your taking the time to respond since the information you provide about your education and your employment experiences is extremely valuable to us in planning occupational programs.

A stamped envelope has been provided for your convenience.

Sincerely,

(Letter should be signed by a staff member who knew the former student personally.) APPENDIX E

SUGGESTED PROCEDURE

for

CONDUCTING A FOLLOW-UP STUDY

(Reproduced with permission from Occupational Program Identification:
An Illinois Occupational Curriculum Project Activity Manual: Volume I.

Published by the Illinois Division of Vocational and Technical Education and co-authored by Joseph A. Borgen and Dwight E. Davis.)

(Appendix E)
(Continued)

Review or conduct a follow-up study of program graduates.

INTRODUCTION:

Students who have completed programs can be a valuable source of information for new programs or program modification suggestions. These students are in a good position to assess the value of the program and the need for changes or additions.

NOTE: The value of the suggestions will depend upon whether the student is employed and whether he has taken a job in a related or unrelated occupational area. The students who are unemployed can provide useful data-as to why they are not employed. The most useful information pertaining to program additions or deletions will come from those persons employed in a job related, occupational area.

Since the reactions of your graduates may change over a period of time as they gain more job experience, it is recommended that this activity be repeated completely one year after graduation and again after the third and fifth years.

This activity may be completed by an individual responsible for survey work, by program administrators, or by teachers at your school. The person or persons responsible should be identified prior to the beginning of the following procedure.

References

The following references may be useful in completing this activity:

An Introduction to Research Procedures in Education, Second Edition, by J. Francis Rummel, New York: Harper And Row, Publishers, 1958, 1964. This book contains useful hints on conducting personal interviews and in designing and completing surveys.

Survey Research by Charles H. Backstrom and Gerald D. Hursh. Northwestern University Press. 1963, 192 pp. This paperback book on survey research is designed for the non-researcher and contains in non-technical lenguage a step-by-step procedure for doing field surveys. The instructions are often put in the form of dose and dont's.

PROCEDURE

- Identify and define sample to be surveyed. Sample should be stratified on the basis of the following:
 - A. Program graduated from
 - B. Year of graduation
- Outline the outcomes of the survey and the tasks to be accomplished.
 This may be a series of key questions such as listed below:

Inventory of Key Questions For Student Follow-up Survey

- A. What is their employment status?
- B. How many are employed in related and non-related jobs?
- C. What is their present salar?
- D. What is their evaluation of he school educational program which prepared them for the job?
- E. What is their evaluation of the school ancillary services?
- F. What recommendations can they make to improve the school program?
 - G. What are their present educational goals?
- 3. Develop the survey instrument. This should be accomplished by developing survey items based upon the desired outcomes and key questions. Example key questions and survey items to answer the key questions are contained in Form IDT1-A/3-1. Example follow-up instruments are shown by Forms△DT1-A/3-2 and IDT1-A/3-3.

NOTE: Be sure to include an item pertaining to name and address of employer and immediate supervisors as this data is needed to complete the employer toflow-up survey. Activity EVL2-A/1. When selecting questions, do not overlook the possibility that you could obtain some information from existing school records without asking students.

RESOURCES

See "Developing Follow-Up Survey Items"
Form IDT1-A/3-1 and Sample Follow-Up Surveys
Forms IDT1-A/3-2 and IDT1-A/3-3

4

PROCEDURE (continued)

RESOURCES

- 4. Evaluate the survey instrument for:
 - A. Clarity—Is each item understood?
 - B. Ease of completion—Can it easily be completed?
 - C. Importance—It is important that you include only the essential items related to your objectives. Eliminate the "nice-to-know" items from your instrument.

NOTE: You may wish to use presently enrolled students to evaluate the instrument, or you may wish to have several former students evaluate it.

- Develop a procedure for data gathering. You may use any of the following methods: /
 - A. Personal interview
 - B. Mail survey
 - C. Telephone survey

NOTE: For specific information perfaining to the completion of a survey such as techniques to follow in completing a mail survey, see "A Handbook For Completing Surveys," Form IDT1-A/3-5.

6. Develop a letter to be sent to graduates being surveyed. The cover letter should be signed by someone known by the student such as a teacher, department head, or counselor.

NOTE: The more that can be done by individual teachers and administrators to establish the value of follow-up data while a student is still in school, the more likely a good response level will be obtained. In addition, the use of a student committee of presently enrolled students to assist with the survey will prove to be helpful.

- 7. Finalize plans for mailing and return of survey forms. The plans should include:
 - A. When the survey will be mailed
 - B. When the thank you reminder postcard will be mailed
 - C. When the second cover letter-will be mailed.
 - D. Provisions for contacting non-respondents via personal interview or telephone.

See Form IDT1.A/3.5 for help in completing surveys.

NOTE: Do not mail the surveys during holiday seasons such as Christmas or Easter.

ADDITIONAL NOTE: Obtaining a correct mailing list is of primary importance. The Placement Office or Alumni Association can be helpful in this effort. In-some instances, the practice of providing each student with a letter and postage paid card at graduation for him to continually advise the school of his address has served to provide an up-to-date mailing list. If available, the use of a computer can increase the efficiency by which mailing lists are updated and printed. This will also provide for the storing of response data by sampling groups.

ADDITIONAL NOTE: The following may assist you in locating your program graduates:

- A. Maintain address cards of all graduates.
- B. Operate a placement service. Provide each student with change of address cards.
- C. Maintain the names and addresses of the students' parents and grandparents. Grandparents are usually very stable and do not move a lot and can provide you with current addresses of their grandchildren if you are unable to locate them by any other means.
- Conduct the survey. See "A Handbook for Completing Surveys." Form ID#1-A/3-5 for good survey practices.

Form IDT1-A/3-5

-Sample Letter Form IDT1-A/3-4

A Handbool for Completing Surveys, Form IDT1-A/3-5

Sample Letter Form IDT1-A/3-6

Sample Return Postcard Form IDT1-A/3-7

See Sample Card, Form IDT1-A/3-7

Form IDT1-A/3-5"

PROCEDURE (continue

RESOURCES

9. Compile and analyze results. See Form IDT1-A/3-5 for assistance in tabulating, analyzing, and interpreting data. Survey data should be reported by summarizing responses by item as anginally presented on the survey form. It is recommended that the analysis of responses be categorized by sample stratification; i.e., program area and year of graduation. If you are completing a third year survey on a group previously surveyed one year after graduation, it would be a good idea to report differences and similarities of response, by comparing the two surveys. Copies of the survey analysis should be reported to the staff, advisory committees, and, if possible, to all respondents.

Form IDT1-A/3-5

- 10. After the data is compiled and analyzed, you have the following options to consider in making use of the information:
 - A. If you are intending to use the advisory committee and/or/the faculty to assist with course, program, or multiple program evaluation, the information gathered in this activity should be provided as part of the total data package for review in completing Activities EVL2 A/7 and EVL2 A/8.
 - B. If you are not going to use the advisory committee or faculty to assist with evaluating the course(s) or program(s), then you should see Activity EVL3-A/1 for assistance in interpreting the results and in developing a procedure for making needed course or program modifications. The information you gathered may have implications for course or program modification; i.e., updating course or program competencies, revising program objectives, or changing institutional methodology.

ERIC